2005 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 02/11/2005					Received By: agary				
Wanted	l: As time pern	nits			Identical to LRB:				
For: Sto	ephen Freese ((608) 266-7502			By/Representing: Kurt Simatic (aide) Drafter: agary Addl. Drafters:				
This fil	e may be show	n to any legislat	tor: NO						
May Co	ontact:								
Subject Submit	: Transp	oortation - mot	or vehicles		Extra Copies:	РЈН			
as Turk	ter's email: copy (CC:) to:	Rep.Frees	e@legis.sta	te.wi.us					
Topic:	cific pre topic g		es .			A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A			
Instruction See Atta	ached				:				
Vers.	ng History: Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed Requ	uired		
/1	agary 03/01/2005	jdyer 03/04/2005	rschluet 03/04/20	05	lemery 03/04/2005	mbarman 03/30/2005			
FE Sent	For:								

<END>

2005 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Receiv	ed: 02/11/2005				Received By: agary				
Wanted	d: As time pern	nits			Identical to LRB:				
For: Stephen Freese (608) 266-7502					By/Representing: Kurt Simatic (aide)				
This fil	e may be show	n to any legislat	or: NO		Drafter: agary Addl. Drafters:				
May C	ontact:								
Subject	t: Transp	oortation - mot	or vehicles		Extra Copies: PJH				
Submit	via email: YES	5							
Reques	ter's email:	Rep.Frees	e@legis.sta	te.wi.us					
Carbon	copy (CC:) to:								
Pre To	pic:		***************************************						
No spec	cific pre topic g	iven							
Topic:									
Release	e of security inte	erests in vehicle	s						
Instru	ctions:				in the second se		:		
See Att	ached								
Draftin	ng History:								
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required		
/? /1	agary 03/01/2005	jdyer 03/04/2005	rschluet 03/04/20	05	lemery 03/04/2005				
FE Sent	For:								

<END>

2005 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 02/11/2005

Received By: agary

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Stephen Freese (608) 266-7502

By/Representing: Kurt Simatic (aide)

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: agary

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject:

Transportation - motor vehicles

Extra Copies:

PJH

Submit via email: YES

Requester's email:

Rep.Freese@legis.state.wi.us

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Release of security interests in vehicles

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

Vers.

Drafted

Reviewed

ed Proofed

Submitted

Jacketed

Required

/? agary

FE Sent For:

<END>

Gary, Aaron

From:

Simatic, Kurt

Sent:

Tuesday, March 01, 2005 3:16 PM

To: Subject: Gary, Aaron RE: drafting requests

let's go with option # 2

thanks for pointing this out.

----Original Message-----From:

Gary, Aaron

Sent:

Tuesday, March 01, 2005 10:00 AM

To:

Simatic, Kurt

Subject:

RE: drafting requests

Hi Kurt.

I am working on item 1. now. As I read the statute, it requires a lien release from the secured party within 10 days if the debtor demands it, or within one month even if no demand is ever made. I think that making the suggested change would not speed things up, but would mean that the secured party would never have to provide the lien release if the debtor did not ask for it. I would suggest two options for a change in language at the beginning of this subsection: (1) "Within one month, or within 10 days following written demand by the debtor, whichever occurs first, after there is no outstanding obligation" [this would clarify the 10 day time limit if a debtor makes a demand] OR (2) "Within 10 days after there is no outstanding obligation" [this would make the time limit 10 days regardless of whether a demand is madel.

How would you like to proceed on this?

Thanks. Aaron

Aaron R. Gary Legislative Attorney Legislative Reference Bureau 608.261.6926 (voice) 608.264.6948 (fax) aaron.gary@legis.state.wi.us

----Original Message----

Simatic, Kurt From:

Sent:

Tuesday, February 08, 2005 2:55 PM

Gary, Aaron Subject: drafting requests

Aaron:

Not sure if you would be the one to draft bills relating to auto dealers, but we have a request for 3 separate bills for the following:

1.In 342.22(1) eliminate the first 3 words "within one month". So the statute would begin "within 10 days".

This change is necessary because lien releases are being unnecessarily delayed and holding up business.

2. We request a change to section 422.207(1) that would allow a creditor who discovers that a customer ré collate ai cr leased cood against prop erty dama n the insuran Ithout b turnty to insure the vehicle.

3. Frequently, dealers have signed credit sales contracts with costomers with the intent of assigning the contract to a lender, only to have he lender reject the contract because it has disco ered a faise statement on the credit application. We are requesting a change to Wis Stat. 425.103, which would include a materially false statement in the customer's credit application as part of the "default" definition. We also request a enange in Wis.Stat. 425.105 removing the 15 day right to cure in these situations.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks.

Kurt Simatic

Legislative Assistant Speaker Pro Tempore Stephen Freese 115 West State Capitol (608) 266-7502 (888) 534-0051 kurt.simatic@legis.state.wi.us

(500)

LRB-2078/1 ARG:,,....

3/1

2005 BILL

Ger

1

AN ACT ...; relating to: the release of security interests in vehicles.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, a security interest in a vehicle must generally be noted on the vehicle's certificate of title. When there is no outstanding obligation or commitment under a security agreement providing the security interest in the vehicle, the secured party must execute and deliver to the vehicle owner within one month, or within ten days following written demand by the owner, a release of the security interest in the manner prescribed by the Department of Transportation (DOT). The owner must then provide the vehicle certificate of title and the release to DOT, which issues a new certificate of title without the notation of a security interest in the vehicle.

This bill requires the secured party to execute and deliver a release of the security interest to the vehicle owner within ten days after there is no outstanding obligation or commitment under the security agreement providing the security interest in the vehicle, regardless of whether the owner has made a written demand for the release.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 342.22 (1) of the statutes is amended to read:

BILL

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

342.22 (1) Within one month or within 10 days following written demand by the debter after there is no outstanding obligation and no commitment to make advances, incur obligations, or otherwise give value, secured by the security interest in a vehicle under any security agreement between the owner and the secured party, the secured party shall execute and deliver to the owner, as the department prescribes, a release of the security interest in the form and manner prescribed by the department and a notice to the owner stating in no less than 10-point boldface type the owner's obligation under sub. (2). If the secured party fails to execute and deliver the release and notice of the owner's obligation as required by this subsection, the secured party is liable to the owner for \$25 and for any loss caused to the owner by the failure.

History: 1977 c. 29 s. 1654 (7) (a); 1977 c. 217, 447; 1985 a. 202; 1997 a. 27; 1999 a. 9. **SECTION 2. Initial applicability.**

(1) This act first applies to security agreements entered into on the effective date of this subsection.

15

(END)

Barman, Mike

From:

Richard, Rob

Sent:

Wednesday, March 30, 2005 11:55 AM

To:

LRB.Legal

Subject:

Draft review: LRB 05-2078/1 Topic: Release of security interests in vehicles

It has been requested by <Richard, Rob> that the following draft be jacketed for the ASSEMBLY:

Draft review: LRB 05-2078/1 Topic: Release of security interests in vehicles